Dr. Hoesung Lee, Chair
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
IPCC Secretariat
c/o World Meteorological Organization
7 bis Avenue de la Paix
C.P. 2300
CH- 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland

## Dear Chairman Lee:

In 2021, a <u>leaked draft</u> of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)'s report included applaudable mention of the need for drastic reductions in meat consumption, particularly in wealthy countries, and a shift to plant based diets as a crucial climate tool. Unfortunately, this recommendation was notably excluded from this year's latest synthesis report. This is particularly concerning given that the provision was <u>reportedly</u> heavily contested — and actively lobbied against — by the global meat industry via Brazil and Argentina's delegations.

Our organizations, representing millions of individuals who are concerned about the future of our planet, are deeply troubled by the potential influence of the meat industry's years-long campaign of interference on any climate recommendations that include plant-based diets as a solution. We are writing to urge the IPCC to fully recognize the scientific evidence that shows the role of food and agriculture in driving the climate crisis and to ensure that future reports specifically highlight plant-based diets as a key climate strategy. Furthermore, we encourage the IPCC to maintain its credibility by taking steps to ensure that Big Agriculture and the global meat industry have no influence over future reports.

Meat and dairy industry actors have long obfuscated the negative climate impacts of their practices while putting up roadblocks against healthy and necessary regulations. In fact, the industry's tactics seem to be <a href="modeled">modeled</a> on the fossil fuel playbook, using its tremendous lobbying power to pressure lawmakers to prevent regulations.

While the IPCC has <u>historically</u> managed to recommend plant-based diets, mention of plant-based diets was notably lacking from this year's report. The scientific community and the public at large deserves to have the IPCC's recommendations be unbiased, untainted, and undiluted by interference from industries that are financially incentivized to undermine science. The IPCC's recommendations would be more powerful and more effective with the assurance that there was no interference of industry lobbyists and political actors who prioritize their industry over the common good.

## **The Problem**

The <u>meat and dairy</u> industry produces <u>16.5 percent</u> of global greenhouse gas emissions according to peer-reviewed analysis of data collected by the UN's Food and Agriculture Organization, which accounts for more than half of the environmental impact of food production as a whole.

If the global livestock industry were its own country, with <u>its</u> methane equivalent of <u>3.1 gigatons</u> of carbon dioxide in emissions, it would be the world's <u>third-biggest</u> greenhouse gas emitter. Beyond cattle, <u>pig</u> and <u>chicken</u> manure plays a huge role in generating the potent greenhouse gas nitrous oxide. And <u>we know</u> that cows, pigs, and chickens eat fertilized crops like corn and soy, which generate even more emissions.

Put a different way, the <u>Washington Post notes</u>, "Meat production is also the most resource-intensive form of agriculture. A whopping 30 percent of Earth's ice-free land mass is used for pasture for livestock, and red meat requires more water and carbon than any other food. If Earth's biggest beef eaters <u>limited their intake to 1.5 hamburgers</u> a week, they could avoid about 5.5 billion tons of greenhouse gas emissions per year — twice the annual emissions of India."

Indeed, beef alone accounts for a stunning <u>41 percent</u> of the world's tropical deforestation, according to OurWorldInData.org. A <u>Stanford University publication</u> adds: "The meat and dairy industries alone use one third of the Earth's fresh water, with a single quarter-pound hamburger patty requiring 460 gallons of water — the equivalent of almost 30 showers — to produce. [...] If everyone in the U.S. ate no meat or cheese just one day a week, it would have the same environmental impact as taking 7.6 million cars off the road."

Furthermore, animal agriculture's primary greenhouse gas emission is methane. The warming effect of methane is radically larger than that of carbon dioxide. The numbers are staggering: in the long run (over 100 years), methane warms the atmosphere 28 times more than does carbon dioxide. The short run is even more catastrophic. Over a twenty year period, methane warms the atmosphere 80 times more than carbon dioxide. 37 percent of methane emitted by human activities is the result of animal agriculture, greater than even that emitted by fossil fuels. The good news is that methane stays in the atmosphere for less time than carbon dioxide, so if we reduce new methane introduced to the atmosphere, we can mitigate climate change significantly more efficiently than if we focused solely on fossil fuels.

## **The Solution**

Multiple studies have found that producing and consuming plant-based foods is more environmentally friendly than raising livestock and producing conventional meat. What follows is a summary of research in support of plant-based diets as part of the solution to the climate crisis we face:

• Analysis from the University of Oxford found that avoiding meat and dairy products was the single biggest way to reduce an individual's environmental impact on the planet.

- The Researchers at the University of Michigan and Tulane University, supported by the Center for Biological Diversity found that "cutting 90% of beef consumption and replacing 50% of other animal-based foods with plant-based foods in the United States would save more than 2 billion tons of greenhouse gas emissions from being released into our atmosphere by 2030 the rough equivalent of taking nearly half the world's cars off the roads for a year."
- Johns Hopkins University researchers found that producing plant-based meats requires
  only fractions of the land and water necessary to produce the equivalent amounts of
  beef, pork, and chicken and generates only fractions of the emissions.

As such, the planet clearly benefits from the shift to plant-based diets because of this diet's massively reduced comparative emissions. In addition to the direct environmental benefits from plant-based foods, there are also massive additional environmental benefits from this transition from further down its supply chain. Research from the <u>University of Oxford</u> shows that "Food production creates ~32% of global terrestrial acidification and ~78% of eutrophication. These emissions can fundamentally alter the species composition of natural ecosystems, reducing biodiversity and ecological resilience." Joseph Poore, who led that research, <u>said</u> at the time of its publication, "A vegan diet is probably the single biggest way to reduce your impact on planet Earth, not just greenhouse gases, but global acidification, eutrophication, land use and water use."

What's more, cropland that is no longer used for animal feed and grazing could be used to mitigate climate change through reforestation, soil conservation or renewable energy production. Surplus land could be allowed to revert to forest or other natural vegetation that store carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and contribute to biodiversity conservation.

A stronger recommendation for plant-based diets is needed by the IPCC in order to capitalize on these environmental opportunities. The faster we shift to plant-based diets, the sooner we can significantly reduce global emissions, air pollution, and global mortality rates — while also reaching IPCC stated environmental targets.

We urge you to consider that even the *appearance* of impropriety can have profoundly negative impact on the perceived legitimacy of institutions and of their outputs. Unfortunately, given the curious exclusion of animal agriculture from this year's report coupled with the widely reported lobbying interest of the meat industry in IPCC activities in years past, we are concerned that IPCC is failing to accurately report on the science around the benefits of lower meat consumption. Moving forward, we urge you to take steps to prevent both any potential future interference by the meat and dairy industries, and the appearance of such interference, in a manner that could weaken these necessary recommendations around the urgent need to reduce meat consumption and production. The world is counting on the IPCC to communicate the most accurate science and most effective solutions for the safekeeping of our planet's future.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

1000 Grandmothers for Future Generations

50by40

Accelerate Neighborhood Climate Action

AFGE Local 704

Amazon Watch

Animals Are Sentient Beings, Inc

**Animal Outlook** 

The Bloom Firm

Blue Dalian

Businesses for a Livable Climate

Call to Action Colorado

Capital V

CatholicNetwork US

The Center for Biological Diversity

CESTA Friends of the Earth El Salvador

Citizen Kind

Climate Save Argentina

CO Businesses for a Livable Climate

Color Brighton Green

Community for Sustainable Energy

Concerned Health Professionals of Pennsylvania

Creation Collaborative

Don't Waste Arizona

Earth Ethics. Inc.

The Enviro Show

Extinction Rebellion San Francisco Bay Area

**Factory Farming Awareness Coalition** 

Farm Sanctuary

Feedback

Feedback EU

Food System Innovations

Freedom BLOC

Friends of the Earth

**GBC Sustainability Team** 

Greater New Orleans Housing Alliance

The Green House Connection Center

Green REV Institute

I-70 Citizens Advisory Group

Indivisible Ambassadors

Kentucky Interfaith Power and Light

Larimer Alliance for Health, Safety and Environment

Littleton Business Alliance

Mayfair Park Neighborhood Association Board

Mental Health & Inclusion Ministries

The Mind's Eye

Montbello Neighborhood Improvement Association

North Range Concerned Citizens

Nowadays

Oil and Gas Action Network

Our Revolution Ocean County

**PETA** 

Planetary Health Collective

Plant Based Treaty

Rainforest Action Network

RapidShift

RapidShift Network

Real Food Systems.org Youth Network

Resource Renewal Institute

**Revolving Door Project** 

Rise Up WV

Pennsylvania Interfaith Power & Light

Save EPA

Sinergia Animal

**Small Business Alliance** 

Southwest Organization for Sustainability

Social Compassion in Legislation

Spirit of the Sun, Inc.

Stray Dog Institute

Switch4Good Inc.

System Change Not Climate Change

Terra Advocati

True Animal Protein Price Coalition

**Turtle Island Restoration Network** 

UnchainedTV

Unitarian Universalist Congregation of Petoskey (Michigan)

Unite North Metro Denver

Vevolution.com

Vote Climate

Wall of Women

Western Slope Businesses for a Livable Climate

World Animal Protection

World Animal Protection Denmark

Womxn from the Mountain

Working for Racial Equity

Amy Sherwood

Clayton Dewey

Hetesh Patel

Inger A. Vedel

J.D. Ruybal

Jude Aiello, MSW

Mark Meeks

Milo Runkle

Patrick Santana

Rabbi Eliot J Baskin, DMin

**Scott Denning** 

Seth Tibbott

Sr. Anna Koop

Veronica Espitia